


STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON TEACHER


Based on 116 Response

Dept. Name Year


1. Knowledge base of the teachers perceived by you




2. Communication skill in terms of articulation & comprehensibility




3. Sincerity/commitment of the teacher




4. Interest about the subject generated by the teacher



5. Ability to integrate content with other courses




6. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class




7. Lecture was.


8. Subject coverage was




9. Nature of delivery was





10. Whether questions from students were encouraged



11. Presentation of the lecture was.



12. Overall rating



Suggestions

1. Audio visual method
2. Audio visual method
3. Audio visual method
4. No
5. Good
6. Good
7. Very good
8. Very good
9. All are Very good
10. Audio visual method
11. Good
12. Good

13. Nice department

Total 116 Response Found

14. Very good

15. No

16. No

17. No

18. Good

19. Good

20. Good

21. Good

22. Good

23. Good

24. Very good